Generating correct code for your programmers

PLISS 2025 – Part II

Hila Peleg - Technion



Funded by the European Union (ERC, EXPLOSYN, 101117232). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council Executive Agency. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

Last time: synthesis algorithms



Generic synthesis recipe

1. Generate a candidate program

- Enumerate trees
 - Top-down
 - Bottom-up
- Traverse automata
- Graph reachability
- Enumerate deduction rules
- Cheat^{*} by looking at spec/domain

2. Test against specification

- Run tests
 - Examples
 - Unit-
- Encode for SMT solver
- Apply typing rules

Input Medium	Text	Audio	Image	
Output Medium	Text	Audio	Image	
Operation	Completion	Creation	Information extraction	Transformation
Refinement	None	Code	Puppies	

Input Medium	Text	Audio	Image	
Output Medium	Text	Audio	Image	
Operation	Completio	on Creation	Information extraction	Transformation
Refinement	None	Code	Puppies	



Input Medium	Text	Audio	Image	
Output Medium	Text	Audio	Image	
Operation	Completio	on Creation	Information extraction	Transformation
Refinement	None	Code	Puppies	



Input Medium	Text	Audio	Image	
Output Medium	Text	Audio	Image	
Operation	Completion	Creation	Informati extractio	ion Transformation
Refinement	None	Code	Puppies	



The space of synthesis algorithms

• Specifications (e.g., PBE, types, sketches)



• Search algorithm (e.g., inductive, deductive, VSA)



The space of synthesis algorithms

• Specifications (e.g., PBE, types, sketches)



Last time: the search is highly customized



Also last time

Shriram @ShriramKMurthi							
Where do you	Where do you get the properties???						
9:07 AM · May 26, 2025							
47 Retweets 1K Likes							
\bigtriangledown	€ ↓	\bigcirc	<u>↑</u>				

Program Synthesis



FlashFill

POPL'11, Excel since 2013

	А	В	С		
	Ben	Sisko	bsisko@ds9.sf.gov		
2	Nerys	Kira			
3	Jadzia	Dax			
4	Julian	Bashir			
5		Worf			
6	Miles	O'Brian			
7			- 0	<u>C</u> o	py Cells
	1	1	- C) Fil	l <u>F</u> ormatting Or
) Fill	l With <u>o</u> ut Form
) <u>F</u> la	sh Fill

f_x V fx North Carolina								
thank you flash fill that is								
City exactly what I wanted								
Austin	TX	Texas	2					
alt Lake City	UT	Utah	1.					
Durham	NC	North Carolina	3					
Columbus	OH	Oorth Carolina	35					
Baton Rouge	LA	Lorth Carolina	11					
Omaha	NE	North Carolina	27					
New Orleans	LA	Lorth Carolina	39					
Des Moines	IA	lorth Carolina	16					
Seattle	WA	Worth Carolina	42					
klahoma City	OK	Oorth Carolina	14:					
Houston	TX	Torth Carolina	620					
Charleston	SC	Sorth Carolina	20					
Washington	DC	Dorth Carolina	225					
Milwaukee	WI	Worth Carolina	528					
Columbia	SC	Sorth Carolina	56					
San, Diego	CA	Corth Carolina	329					
Orlando	FL	Forth Carolina	190					
Boston	MA	Morth Carolina	489					
Dallas	TX	Torth Carolina	489					
Minneapolis	MN	Morth Carolina	396					
			1					

Program Synthesis: closer to real

This is what I want



Interaction models



Another way to think about it



The design space of interaction models

Exploring the Learnability of Program Synthesizers by Novice Programmers

Dhanya Jayagopal* dhanyajayagopal@berkeley.edu University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, USA Justin Lubin* justinlubin@berkeley.edu University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, USA Sarah E. Chasins schasins@cs.berkeley.edu University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, USA

ABSTRACT

Modern program synthesizers are increasingly delivering on their promise of lightening the burden of programming by automatically generating code, but little research has addressed how we can make

1 INTRODUCTION

The promise of *program synthesis* is to lighten the burden of programming by automatically generating code that satisfies a userprovided specification. However, little work has studied how novice

Learnability of Synthesizers



Synthesizer	Name	#	DESCRIPTION
BLUE-PENCIL	Point	1	Change the program to use Point objects to represent position rather than a pair of integers (x, y).
Blue-Pencil	Rename	2	Change the name of variable X to latitude. Change the name of variable Y to longitude.
BLUE-PENCIL	LinkedList	3	Change a list to be a LinkedList (built-in Java class) instead of a primitive array.
Copilot	Abbreviate	1	Write a program to return the abbreviation of a given name.
Copilot	Occurrences	2	Write a program to turn a list into a dictionary that counts the number of occurrences of each character.
Copilot	Subsequence	3	Write a program to find the length of the longest subsequence of a given sequence such that all elements of the subsequence are sorted in increasing order. For example, the output for [10, 22, 9, 33, 21, 50, 41, 60, 80] should be 6 because the longest sorted subsequence is [10, 22, 33, 50, 60, 80].
Flash Fill	Names	1	Using the data from Column A, populate Column B with <last name="">, <first name=""> and populate Column C with <first initial=""><last name=""> in lower case.</last></first></first></last>
Flash Fill	Emails	2	Populate Column B with the prefixes of the email addresses in Column A.
Flash Fill	Characters	3	Populate Column B with all of the upper case letters from Column A. Populate Column C with all of the lower case letters from Column A. Populate Column D with all of the numbers from Column A.
Regae	Plus	1	Write a regular expression that accepts strings that contain + or digits but no ++.
Regae	ABC	2	Write a regular expression that accepts strings that only have A, B, C, or any combinations of them.
Regae	Phone	3	Write a regular expression that accepts phone numbers that start with one optional + symbol and follow with a sequence of digits. For example, +91 and 91, but not 91+.
SnipPy	Abbreviate	1	Write a program to return the abbreviation of a given name.
SnipPy	Reverse	2	Write a program to reverse a given string.
SnipPy	Filter	3	Write a program to return a given string without a specified letter.

The space of interaction models



Specifications:	Voluntary	\Leftrightarrow	Incidental
Initiation:	Triggerless	\Leftrightarrow	User-Triggered
Result communication:	Triggerless	\Leftrightarrow	User-triggered
		•	More learnable (by novices)

Exploring the Learnability of Program Synthesizers by Novice Programmers

Dhanya Jayagopal* dhanyajayagopal@berkeley.edu University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, USA Justin Lubin* justinlubin@berkeley.edu University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, USA

Sarah E. Chasins schasins@cs.berkeley.edu University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, USA

ABSTRACT

Modern program synthesizers are increasingly delivering on their promise of lightening the burden of programming by automatically generating code but little research has addressed how we can make

1 INTRODUCTION

The promise of *program synthesis* is to lighten the burden of programming by automatically generating code that satisfies a userprovided excitation. However, little work has studied how povice The interactive synthesis space



The interactive synthesis space



The interactive synthesis space



Algorithm first: an example

"users can provide specifications using a user interface" —imaginary quote based on dozens and dozens of papers



Interaction first: example

Programming by Example be like:



Interaction first: an example





Synthesis Co-Design





height 1 ... input.length ...
$$i_0 = \{input \mapsto [1, 9, 5]\}$$

height 2 ... input.length / 2 ...

height 1
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2+1 \\ <3 \end{smallmatrix}$$
 ... $\begin{bmatrix} input.length \\ <3 \end{smallmatrix}$... $i_0 = \{input \mapsto [1,9,5]\}$
height 2 ... $\begin{bmatrix} input.length / 2 \\ <1.5 \end{smallmatrix}$...





Solution: Generalize synthesis algorithm

Before (examples \mathcal{E}):

Observational equivalence:

$$\begin{split} m_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{E}} m_2 \Leftrightarrow \\ \forall (i,o) \in \mathcal{E}. \llbracket m_1 \rrbracket (i) = \llbracket m_2 \rrbracket (i) \end{split}$$

Problem: only considers execution results for equivalence

After (general specification S):

Observational equivalence: $m_1 \equiv_S m_2 \Leftrightarrow$ $\forall \pi \in S. \pi (m_1) = \pi (m_2)$

Solution: each spec element has an *observer* that expresses what equivalence means for it

...for an example, it's still execution results

Synthesis fixed



Synthesis can do more now

Other things we can create an observer (π) for:

- Specifying exceptions
- Negative examples
- Types of subexpressions
- Anything, really, as long as π has two properties we care about

Type Constraints:

Number:	require	prohibit
Boolean:	require	prohibit
String:	require	prohibit
Object:	require	prohibit
Array:	require	prohibit

Interaction models can referee



Help both sides out!

Live Programming



Live Programming by Example



Task: Abbreviate



3. Put dots in between

Task: Abbreviate



Let's make it harder: loops

Synthesizing loops



May not terminate

Reducing the class of programs

```
function f(args) {
    if (B) {
        return E;
    } else {
        self(args);
    }
}
```

input.map(e => E)
input.filter(e => B)
input.reduce((acc,e) => E)

for x in xs: $V_1 = E_1$ $V_2 = E_2$ \vdots $V_n = E_n$

"Recursive Program Synthesis", Albarghouthi et al. 2013

Recursion by Example

• Specification:
$$\frac{\{l \mapsto []\} \to 0}{\{l \mapsto [2,1]\} \to 2}$$

- Grammar includes self for recursive calls
 - In this case, self: [Int] -> Int

height 2:

<???,???>

self(tail(1))

height 1:





1



"Recursive Program Synthesis", Albarghouthi et al. 2013

New values for self?

- You mean, new examples
- Where do these come from?





An interaction model!



What about higher-order functions?

input.map(e => E)
input.filter(e => B)
input.reduce((acc,e) => E)

Reminder: Example propagation



Reminder: Example propagation



What about reduce?

Independent iterations are fine:

What about reduce?

Independent iterations are fine:

```
Data dependencies make
everything hard:
\{arr \mapsto [1,2,3]\}\
arr.reduce(\emptyset)((acc,x) => ??)
6
\downarrow
\{x \mapsto 1, acc \mapsto 0\} \rightarrow ?_1
\{x \mapsto 2, acc \mapsto ?_1\} \rightarrow ?_2
\{x \mapsto 3, acc \mapsto ?_2\} \rightarrow 6
```

What about reduce?

Independent iterations are fine:

```
Data dependencies make
everything hard:
\{arr \mapsto [1,2,3]\}\
arr.reduce(\emptyset)((acc,x) => ??)
6
\downarrow
\{x \mapsto 1, acc \mapsto 0\} \rightarrow ?_1
\{x \mapsto 2, acc \mapsto ?_1\} \rightarrow ?_2
\{x \mapsto 3, acc \mapsto ?_2\} \rightarrow 6
```

How many possible values do ?₁ and ?₂ have?

Programming with a Read-Eval-Synth Loop [OOPSLA'20]

And if examples are not trace complete?



Live Programming by Example



What about loops?

• Trace-Complete examples will solve this

• How can we be sure the user gives us trace-complete examples?



Bottom-up search by example

Interaction model to the rescue!

•	#	х	s	rs	arr
	0	1	0		[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
	1	2	0	• •	[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
	2	3	0		[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
	3	4	0		[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
	4	5	0		[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

What happens here?

- Use the after-state to compute the next before-state
- Help the programmer enter examples



But more than one stmt happens in a block

You have to specify all at once



Now to synthesize it:



Formal methods: a bird's eye view



algorithmic part we understand

Magic, an incomplete definition

Something that solves really hard problems... ... some of the time.



"incomplete assistants"

Available magics







Available magics



Summary

- Think about interactions, not just algorithms
- Both will change once you start doing that
 - For the better, if you do it right!
- You can help both sides of the interaction (human, algorithm) out